

RA51, Response 7 (to Archambeault, C5)

CONFUSING AFFECT OF THE APEIRON AND INCARNATION CONNECTION by  
Glenn C. Wood 7 August 2002, posted 20 August 2002

Mr. Archambeault's (A's) question about the apeiron and the incarnation is well put and seems to proceed from a humility that encompasses even 20 years of mystical experimentation. It's especially meaningful because of the influence of one of his earliest recalled religiously related experiences: the taking of the "host" (if I recall correctly from one of his previous writings). A's honesty in stating he doesn't get the connection deserves attention for he's asking for additional experience from others that he can relate to his own experiences. Though he's an author of a book [which he yet has not ... given ... to me] he does not -- being true to mystery -- assume total knowledge. One, such as he with his experience, should feel morally and ethically comfortable when participating in a forum associated with the name of Karl Jaspers. Where else could he get a higher degree of intense but free criticism?

A's question is referred to TA51 and my response to comments entitled "Religion and Language" beginning especially at paragraph <7>. I hope this is helpful, for I find it helpful when referred to specific clarifying sections of articles without having to sift through extensive material -- especially while reading material with words with special and sometimes destructive meanings. Perhaps someone someday will index the KJF in some special way. (Such language as "post modern" for example: I've found it has been objected to since it was first used. Its use though finally out-shouted the objections and it has become accepted by academia. Mr. A's questions are not unlike mine in requiring clarification. I went from academia to the "field" and upon returning -- through the Internet -- felt a little like Rip Van Ripple -- or was it Winkle -- only my leave was more than a thirty-year wink. At one point I thought of myself as Rip Van Linkle, for I began linking to see what was being done with Karl Jaspers for his works cannot be ignored but must be dealt with by the intellectually dishonest and by vulgar capitalizing movements.)